

# KOSZUL PROPERTY AND FROBENIUS SPLITTING OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES

ROMAN BEZRUKAVNIKOV

University of Tel-Aviv

**Introduction.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\lambda$  be a dominant weight. By  $V_\lambda$  we denote the irreducible representation of highest weight  $\lambda$ .

Consider an associative commutative graded algebra  $A := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{n\lambda}$ , with (nonzero)  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant multiplication. (Such multiplication is unique up to isomorphism.) In other words,  $A$  is the projective coordinate ring of the orbit of the highest weight vector in projective space  $\mathbb{P}(V_\lambda^*)$ .

A classical theorem of Kostant (see e.g. [LT], Theorem 1.1) asserts that algebra  $A$  is *quadratic*, i.e. it is generated by its first graded component, and the ideal of relations is generated by elements of degree 2. Among quadratic algebras there is a class of algebras enjoying especially nice homological (and, hence, deformation) properties. It is the class of *Koszul algebras*. (We refer to [BGS], [PP], [BF] for an exposition of the theory of Koszul algebras).

Below we prove that  $A$ , and, more generally, the coordinate ring of a Schubert variety in any projective embedding belongs to this class.

A method of investigating the coordinate rings of Schubert varieties, called the Frobenius splitting method, was developed in the sequence of papers [MR], [R] (and reference there). Our observation is that this method is ideally suited for establishing the Koszul property of a commutative ring.

**1. Frobenius Splitting.** The following definitions are taken from [MR].

Let  $X$  be a scheme over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $Fr : X \rightarrow X$  be the (geometric) Frobenius morphism. For a regular function  $f$  on an affine open subscheme we have  $Fr^*(f) = f^p$ . To the morphism  $Fr$  there corresponds the structure morphism of sheaves  $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow Fr_*(\mathcal{O})$ .

**1.1. Definition.**  *$X$  is called Frobenius split if there exists a morphism  $s : Fr_*(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  such that the composition  $s \circ F$  is identity. Such  $s$  is called a Frobenius splitting of  $X$ .*

1.2. Let  $Y$  be a closed subscheme of  $X$ , and  $J_Y$  be its ideal sheaf.

**Definition.** A Frobenius splitting  $s$  is called compatible with  $Y$  if the image of  $Fr_*(J_Y)$  under  $s$  lies in  $J_Y$ . If such an  $s$  exists, then  $Y$  is called compatibly split in  $X$ .

1.3. **Lemma.** (cf. [R], 1.3) *i) If a Frobenius splitting is compatible with a subscheme  $Y$  then it induces a Frobenius splitting of  $Y$ .*

*ii) A Frobenius split scheme is reduced.* □

1.4. Let  $X$  be a projective variety, and  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample invertible sheaf on  $X$ .

**Proposition** *i) If  $X$  is Frobenius split, then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .*

*ii) If  $Y$  is compatibly split in  $X$ , then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes J_Y) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .*

**Proof:** see [MR], Proposition 3. □

1.5. We shall apply this proposition in the following situation. Let  $G$  be a Chevalley group,  $B^+$ ,  $B^-$  be its opposite Borel subgroups, and let  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup. From now on  $X := G/P$  will denote a flag manifold. By a Schubert (respectively, an opposite Schubert) variety we mean a closure of a  $B^+$  (respectively, a  $B^-$ ) orbit in  $X$ , and by a relative Schubert variety a closure of a  $G$ -orbit in  $X \times X$ .

All these objects are considered as schemes over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ . For any field  $\mathbb{F}$  we can get the corresponding objects over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F})$  by the base change. We omit the base scheme in the notations when it causes no confusion.

1.6. Let us fix  $n$  and consider the following subvarieties of  $X^n$ :

a) preimage of a Schubert variety under the projection on the first coordinate

b) preimage of a relative Schubert variety under the projection on the  $(i, i+1)$  coordinates for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

c) preimage of an opposite Schubert variety under the projection on the  $n$ -th coordinate

d)  $X^n$ ,  $\emptyset$

Let  $S^n$  denote the smallest set of subschemes of  $X^n$ , which contains all the subschemes a), b), c), d) and is closed under the operations of union and (scheme-theoretic) intersection of subschemes.

**Proposition.** *For any  $p > 0$  there exists a Frobenius splitting of  $X^n$  over a field of characteristic  $p$ , which is compatible with all subschemes  $Z \in S^n$ .*

**Proof** will be given in section 3.

1.7. We consider the schemes over the spectrum of a field. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample invertible sheaf on  $X^n$ .

**Corollary.** *i) Any scheme  $Z \in S^n$  is reduced.*

*ii) For  $Z_1 \subset Z_2$  put  $J_{Z_1}^{Z_2}$  to be the kernel of the restriction morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{Z_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_1}$ . Then for all  $Z_1, Z_2 \in S^n$  we have:  $H^i(X^n, J_{Z_1}^{Z_2} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .*

**Proof:** (cf. [MR], Theorem 3) For fields of finite characteristic the statements follow from Proposition 1.6. together with Lemma 1.3.ii), and Proposition 1.4.ii) respectively.

Note that for any field  $k$  the set  $S_k^n$  of subschemes in  $X^n/\text{Spec}(k)$  can be obtained from the corresponding set of subschemes in  $X^n/\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  by the base change. Thus all the sheaves  $J_{Z_1}^{Z_2}$  over  $k$  are the base change of the sheaves over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Also there exists an ample sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  on  $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$  which gives  $\mathcal{L}$  by the base change.

It is easy to see that there exists a nonempty open  $U \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that all the sheaves under consideration are flat over  $U$ . The statement ii) for the base field of characteristic 0 then follows from the corresponding statement over finite fields by semicontinuity ([EGA], Theorem 7.7.5, page 199).

Let us prove i). Assume that the nil-radical  $\mathcal{I}_Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$  is non-zero. From the statement i) for finite fields it follows, by Nakayama lemma, that the support of  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  does not intersect the fiber of  $X^n$  over the prime ideal  $(p)$  for any  $p > 0$ . This is a contradiction since the support of any coherent sheaf is closed.  $\square$

**2. Koszul Property.** We are now in a position to prove the Koszul property. Let us describe a way to deduce combinatorial information from the geometrical statements 1.7.

2.1. Suppose that a scheme  $Y$ , a flat sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on it and a set  $S$  of subschemes of  $Y$  are given. About  $S$  we assume that  $Y \in S$ , and that  $S$  is closed under the operations of scheme-theoretic intersection and union of subschemes. Let us denote  $V := H^0(Y, \mathcal{L})$ ; and let  $V_Z$  be the kernel of the restriction map  $V \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z)$ .

**Lemma.** *If for  $Y, S, \mathcal{L}$  the statements of Corollary 1.7. are satisfied, then*

- a) the restriction map  $V \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z)$  is surjective for  $Z \in S$ .*
- b) we have:  $V_{Z_1 \cap Z_2} = V_{Z_1} + V_{Z_2}$  for  $Z_1, Z_2 \in S$ .*
- c) the vector spaces  $V_Z, Z \in S$  form a distributive lattice of subspaces of  $V$ .*

(Recall that a set of subspaces of vector space is called a lattice if it is closed under the operations of sum and intersections. A lattice is called distributive if the distributiveness property :  $V \cap (U + W) = V \cap U + V \cap W$  holds for any elements  $V, U, W$  of the lattice.)

Proof: a) We have an exact sequence:  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow H^1(Y, J_Z^Y \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ .

b) An exact sequence of sheaves  $0 \rightarrow J_{Z_1 \cup Z_2} \rightarrow J_{Z_1} \oplus J_{Z_2} \rightarrow J_{Z_1 \cap Z_2} \rightarrow 0$  remains exact after tensoring with  $\mathcal{L}$ . The latter exact sequence yields the cohomology sequence :  $V_{Z_1} \oplus V_{Z_2} \rightarrow V_{Z_1 \cap Z_2} \rightarrow H^1(Y, J_{Z_1 \cup Z_2} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ .

c) It is obvious that  $V_{Z_1 \cup Z_2} = V_{Z_1} \cap V_{Z_2}$ . Since any scheme in  $S$  is reduced, the equality  $Z_1 \cup (Z_2 \cap Z_3) = (Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cap (Z_1 \cup Z_3)$  follows from the corresponding identity for sets. Thus c) follows from b).  $\square$

2.2. Recall that we consider varieties over an arbitrary field  $k$ .

Fix a projective embedding  $\varphi : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ . Denote  $\mathcal{F} := \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$ . Let  $A := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n})$  be the projective coordinate ring of  $X$ , and  $B_w$  be the projective coordinate ring of a Schubert variety  $X_w$ .

Theorem. a)  $A$  is a Koszul quadratic algebra.

b)  $B_w$  is a Koszul module over  $A$ .

c)  $B_w$  is a Koszul quadratic algebra.

(We refer to [BGS0] for the definition and properties of Koszul modules over a Koszul algebra.)

Proof: We apply Lemma 2.1 in the following situation. Take  $Y$  to be  $X^n$ ; the set  $S = S^n$  was described in 1.6. Put  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes n}$ . Let  $i : X \hookrightarrow X^n$  be the diagonal embedding and let  $\Delta$  be its image. By  $\Delta_k$  we denote the diagonal  $x_k = x_{k+1}$ . Note that  $\Delta, \Delta_k$  lie in  $S^n$ .

We have:  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}) = A_1^{\otimes n}$ ,  $H^0(X, i^*(\mathcal{L})) = A_n$ , where  $A_i$  is the  $i$ -th graded component of  $A$ . The restriction map corresponds to multiplication in  $A$ .

Lemma 2.1 says that this map is surjective, i.e.  $A$  is generated by its first component. By Lemma 2.1.ii) (applied successively  $(n - 1)$  times) we see that the kernel of multiplication map  $A_1^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A_n$  is equal to  $\sum V_{\Delta_k} \subset H^0(X^n, \mathcal{L}) = A_1^{\otimes n}$ . It is obvious that  $V_{\Delta_k} = A_1^{\otimes k-1} \otimes I \otimes A_1^{\otimes n-k-1}$ . Thus we proved that  $A$  is quadratic. Now the Koszul property follows from Lemma 2.1.iii) by the following well-known criterion:

2.3. A quadratic algebra  $A$  is Koszul iff for all  $n$  the lattice of subspaces of  $A_1^{\otimes n}$  generated by the spaces:  $A_1^{\otimes k-1} \otimes I \otimes A_1^{\otimes n-k-1}$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  ("the lattice of relations") is distributive.

Proof of b) is analogous. Add to the above the following notations:  $Z := X_w \times X^{n-1}$ ,  $Z' := Z \cap \Delta$ . Let  $i_w, i'_w$  be the corresponding embeddings. We have:  $H^0(i_w^*(\mathcal{L})) = (B_w)_n$ .

Lemma 2.1.i) then implies that  $B_w$  is generated by  $(B_w)_0$  as a module over  $A$ . Lemma 2.1 ii) implies that the module of relations is generated by its component of degree 1. The Koszul property of the module follows from Lemma 2.1.iii) by the following criterion (see [BGSo]):

2.4. Let  $M$  be a graded module over a Koszul quadratic algebra  $A$ . Assume that the multiplication map  $A \otimes M_0 \rightarrow M$  is surjective, and its kernel  $I_M$  is generated by the first graded component  $(I_M)_1$  (i.e.  $M$  is a quadratic module). Then  $M$  is a Koszul module iff for all  $n$  the lattice of subspaces of  $M_0 \otimes (A_1)^{\otimes n}$  generated by the spaces:  $I_M \otimes (A_1)^{\otimes n-1}$ ,  $A_1^{\otimes k-1} \otimes I_M \otimes A_1^{\otimes n-k-1}$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , is distributive.

The statement c) follows from a), b) by the algebraic

2.5. Lemma. Let  $A$  be a Koszul algebra, and  $B$  be its quotient algebra. If  $B$  is Koszul as a module over  $A$ , then  $A$  is a Koszul quadratic algebra.

Proof: Consider the spectral sequence:

$$E_{ij}^2 = \text{Tor}_i^B(\text{Tor}_j^A(B, k), k) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+j}^A(k, k)$$

The action of  $B$  on  $\text{Tor}_j^A(B, k)$  obviously factors through  $B/B^{>0} = k$ . Thus  $E_{ij}^2 = \text{Tor}_j^A(B, k) \otimes \text{Tor}_i^B(k, k)$ .

The grading on  $A$  and  $B$  induces a grading on all the objects under consideration. Recall that an algebra  $A$  is Koszul iff  $\text{Tor}_i^A(k, k)$  is concentrated in the graded degree  $i$ . A module  $M$  is Koszul iff  $\text{Tor}_i(M, k)$  is concentrated in degree  $i$ .

Assume that  $B$  is not Koszul. Then for some  $i$  there exists  $s > i$  such that  $\text{Tor}_i^B(k, k)$  has nonzero component of degree  $s$ . Take the smallest  $i$  with this property. Then we see that:  $E_{0,i}^2$  has nonzero component of degree  $s > i$ ; and  $E_{p,q}^2$  is concentrated in degree  $p + q$  for  $p + q < i$ . (In the last assertion we used the Koszul property of  $A$ , and of  $B$  as an  $A$ -module.) Since the differential  $d_r : E_{ij}^r \rightarrow E_{i+r, j-r-1}^r$  preserves the grading, we see that  $d_r|_{(E_{i,0}^r)_s} = 0$  for all  $r$ . Thus  $(E_{i,0}^\infty)_s \neq 0$ , and hence  $\text{Tor}_i^A(k, k)$  has a nonzero part of degree  $s$ . But this contradicts the Koszul property of  $A$ .  $\square$

2.5. Remark. By analogy with the notion of Koszul module, one can define a pair of graded modules  $M, N$  over a Koszul algebra  $A$  to be Koszul if  $Tor_i^A(M, N)$  is concentrated in degree  $i$  for all  $i > 0$ . A criterion similar to 2.4 holds in this case. Our method actually proves the following complement to Theorem 2.2:

*A pair (projective coordinate ring of a Schubert variety, projective coordinate ring of an opposite Schubert variety) is a Koszul pair of modules over  $A$ .*

2.6. Remark. One can deduce the exactness of Koszul complex, or the homological criterion of Koszulity directly from the cohomology vanishing 1.7 by using the sheaf-theoretic interpretation of Koszul complex and bar-complex respectively. (One of these possibilities was pointed out to me by M.Kapranov.)

**3. Proof of Proposition 1.6.** This section is an extension of the arguments of [R] (cf. Theorem 3.5 there).

We fix some base field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

3.1. Lemma. *i) If  $s$  is compatible with two subschemes  $Y_1, Y_2$  then it is compatible with their union and (scheme-theoretic) intersection.*

*ii) Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a morphism, such that  $f_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ . Let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed subscheme,  $Y'$  be its (scheme-theoretic) image. If  $Y$  is compatibly split in  $X$ , then  $Y'$  is compatibly split in  $X'$ .*

*iii) Let  $U \subset X$  is an open subscheme, which intersects all components of a reduced closed subscheme  $Y$ . Assume that a Frobenius splitting  $s$  of  $X$  is such that its restriction to  $U$  is compatible with  $Y$ . Then  $s$  is compatible with  $Y$ .*

Proof: see [R], 1.9; [MR], Proposition 4 or [R], 1.8; [MR], Lemma 1 or [R] 1.7. □

By Lemma 3.1.i) it suffices to prove 1.6 for the case  $X = G/B$ , where  $B$  is a Borel subgroup. From Lemma 3.1.ii) it follows that it is enough to find a Frobenius splitting  $s \in \text{Hom}(Fr_*(\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O})$  which is compatible with each of the varieties a), b), c) of 1.6. As was explained in [MR], we have:  $\text{Hom}(Fr_*(\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}) = H^n(Fr_*(\mathcal{O}) \otimes K)^* = H^n(Fr_*(Fr^*(K)))^* = H^n(Fr^*(K))^* = H^n(K^{\otimes p})^* = \text{Hom}(K^{\otimes p}, K)$ . (Here  $K$  is the canonical class. The first and the last equality are the Serre duality; the second is the projection formula, and the third uses the fact that the finite morphism  $Fr$  is acyclic.) So we have to construct an appropriate element  $s \in \text{Hom}(K^{\otimes p}, K) = H^0(K^{\otimes 1-p})$ .

Let  $D \subset X^n$  be the union of all the varieties a), b), c) of 1.6. Denote also by  $D^+ \subset X$  the union of all Schubert varieties, and by  $D^- \subset X$  the union of all opposite Schubert varieties.

We have an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(D) \cong K^{-1}$ . Indeed, since  $\text{Pic}(X^n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Pic}(X)$ ,

it is enough to check that for any  $i$  the restrictions of  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  and  $K^{-1}$  to a fiber of the projection  $p_i : X^n \rightarrow X^{n-1}$  (forgetting the  $i$ -th coordinate) are isomorphic. So it reduces to a well known isomorphism:  $K_X^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}(D^+ + D^-)$ .

Hence there exists a section  $\sigma \in H^0(X^n, K^{-1})$  whose divisor is equal to  $D$ . We put  $s := \sigma^{p-1}$ .

We claim that  $s$  provides the desired Frobenius splitting. In view of Lemma 3.1.iii) it is enough to check this locally. Let us make this precise. Let  $x \in X$  be the fixed point of  $B^+$ , and  $y \in X$  be the fixed point of  $B^-$ . By Lemma 3.1.iii), the proof will be finished if we show the following:

**3.2. Lemma.** *Let  $s \in H^0(K^{-1})$  be a section defined on some neighbourhood of the point  $(x, \dots, x) \in X^n$  (respectively  $(y, \dots, y) \in X^n$ ). Assume that the divisor of  $s$  is equal to  $D + D'$ , where  $(x, \dots, x) \notin \text{supp}(D')$  (respectively,  $(y, \dots, y) \notin \text{supp}(D')$ ). Then  $s^{p-1}$  gives a Frobenius splitting of some neighbourhood of  $(x, \dots, x)$  which is compatible with all varieties a), b) (respectively, b), c)) of 1.6.*

To prove it we need the following key statement, which is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 2 of [MR].

**3.3. Sublemma.** *Let  $\sigma \in H^0(K^{-1})$  be a section defined on some neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Suppose that the divisor of  $\sigma$  is equal to  $D^+ + D'$ , where  $x \notin \text{supp}(D')$ . Then  $\sigma^{p-1}$  gives a Frobenius splitting of some (possibly smaller) open neighbourhood of  $x$  which is compatible with all Schubert varieties.*  $\square$

As an immediate corollary we get:

**3.4. Sublemma.** *Let  $\sigma \in H^0(K^{-1})$  be a section defined on some neighbourhood of the point  $(x, \dots, x) \in X^n$ . Suppose that the divisor of  $\sigma$  is equal to  $(\sum_i X^i \times D^+ \times X^{n-i}) + D'$ , where  $(x, \dots, x) \notin \text{supp}(D')$ . Then  $\sigma^{p-1}$  provides a Frobenius splitting of some neighbourhood of  $(x, \dots, x)$  which is compatible with all varieties  $X^i \times X_w \times X^{n-i-1}$ , where  $X_w$  is a Schubert variety.*  $\square$

Now we can deduce Lemma 3.2. First of all note, that there exists an automorphism of  $G$  taking  $B^+$  to  $B^-$ , hence we can restrict ourself to the case when  $s$  is defined on a neighbourhood of  $(x, \dots, x)$ .

Let  $N^-$  be the maximal nilpotent subgroup in  $B^-$ . We have an open embedding  $j : (N^-)^n \hookrightarrow X^n$ , such that:  $j((g_1, \dots, g_n)) = (g_1x, \dots, g_nx)$ , where for an element  $g \in N^-$  its action on  $X$  is denoted by  $g : y \rightarrow gy$ .

Define an automorphism  $a$  of  $(N^-)^n$  by the formula  $a : (g_1, \dots, g_n) \rightarrow (g_1, g_1^{-1}g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}^{-1}g_n)$ . Then:  $ja^{-1}((x, \dots, x)) = (x, \dots, x)$ . One can see that  $a$  sends  $j^{-1}(D)$  to the divisor  $j^{-1}(\sum_i X^i \times D^+ \times X^{n-i})$ . Hence Lemma 3.2 is equivalent to the Sublemma 3.4.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The statement a) of Theorem 2.2. was communicated to me as a conjecture by J. Donin. I would like to express here my gratitude to him. I am glad for the opportunity to thank L. Positsel'skii for various discussions and explanations. Lemma 2.5 was pointed out to me by him. Thanks for encouragement in writing the paper are due to V. Ginzburg and J. Donin.

**Remark.** During the final stage of preparation of the text there appeared a paper by Mehta, Inamdar "Frobenius splitting of Schubert varieties and linear syzygies" (American J. Math., 116 (December, 1994)) on the same topic.

### References.

- [BGS] A.Beilinson, V.Ginzburg, V.Schechtman *Koszul duality*, JGP **5**, # 3, (1988), 317-350.
- [BGSo] A.Beilinson, V.Ginzburg, W.Soergel *Koszul Duality Patterns in Representation Theory*, to appear (1995).
- [BF] J.Backelin, R.Fröberg. *Koszul algebras, Veronese subrings and rings with linear resolutions*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **30**, #2, (1985), p.85-97.
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck, J.Dieudonné *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique* III (partie 2), Publ. Math. IHES **17** (1963).
- [LM] G. Lancaster, J. Towber *Representation-Functors and Flag-algebras for the Classical Groups*, Jour. Alg. **59** (1979), 16-38.
- [MR] V.B.Mehta, A.Ramanathan *Frobenius Splitting and Cohomology Vanishing for Schubert Varieties*, Ann. Math. **122** (1985), 27-40.
- [PP] A.Polischuk, L.Positsel'skii *On quadratic algebras*, preprint, Moscow, 1992.
- [R] A. Ramanathan *Equations Defining Schubert Varieties and Frobenius Splitting of Diagonals*, Publ. Math. IHES **65** (1987), 61-90.